



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/520,969	03/08/2000	Kosuke Shimizu	046601-5041	2127	
9629	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMI	NER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			BRINICH, ST	BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	J., 20 2000.		2624	1	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	H	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/520,969	SHIMIZU, KOSUKE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen M Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8</u> is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
<i></i>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌 7	he specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)∏ Т	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` <b>`</b>				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/520,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Satou et al.

Re claim 2, Satou et al. discloses (Abstract, column 19lines 1-32) an image reader in which an original is conveyed,
first and second portions of the read image are compared, and
the density difference is compared to a threshold value T1
(which is corrected on the basis of read image values, as
described at column 18, lines 64-67). Based on the result of
this threshold comparison, streak-shaped noises are detected in
the read image.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 & 4-8 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,969

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1, 3, & 6-8, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited selection of one of the two read image portions based on a detection of streak-shaped noise.

Re claim 4 (and dependent claim 5), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited detection of steak-shaped noise specifically in one of the read image portions having a higher density value.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fukuda et al. discloses an additional example of noise detection via density comparison

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,969

Art Unit: 2624

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

September 30, 2003